2018 International Arbitration Survey

Brexit fails to dampen London’s popularity for international arbitration

- 97% of respondents expressed that international arbitration is their preferred method of resolving cross-border disputes.
- 99% of respondents are likely to choose international arbitration in the future.

Most preferred seats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Preference %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LONDON</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARIS</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGAPORE</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONG KONG</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENEVA</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOCKHOLM</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The impact of Brexit

- 55% of respondents think that Brexit is unlikely to impact the use of London as a seat.
- 70% of respondents think Paris is the seat that will most benefit from the impact of Brexit.

Use of information technology to aid efficiency in arbitration

- 61% of respondents think the desire for increased efficiency, including through technology, will direct the future evolution of international arbitration.

Technology that should be used more often in arbitration

- Hearing room technologies: 98%
- Cloud-based storage: 91%
- Videoconferencing: 89%
- Artificial intelligence: 78%
- Virtual hearing rooms: 66%

Most preferred arbitral institutions

- 77% ICC
- 51% LCIA
- 36% SIAC
- 27% HKIAC
- 16% SCC
- 13% ICSID
- 13% ICDR/AAA

Diversity across arbitral tribunals

Respondents who agree there has been progress made in the last five years in respect of different aspects of diversity

- Gender: 60%
- Age: 35%
- Geographic: 34%
- Cultural: 31%
- Ethnic: 24%

- 45% of respondents think that arbitral institutions are best placed to ensure greater diversity across tribunals.
- 80% of respondents believe that arbitral institutions are best placed to make an impact on the future evolution of international arbitration.

whitecase.com/arbitration-survey-2018